arXiv:1111.0957v1 [math.AT] 3 Nov 2011

EQUIVARIANT COHOMOLOGY, SYZYGIES AND ORBIT STRUCTURE

CHRISTOPHER ALLDAY, MATTHIAS FRANZ, AND VOLKER PUPPE

Abstract. Let X be a "nice" space with an action of a torus T. We consider the Atiyah–Bredon sequence of equivariant cohomology modules arising from the filtration of X by orbit dimension. We show that a front piece of this sequence is exact if and only if the $H^*(BT)$-module $H_T^*(X)$ is a certain syzygy. Moreover, we express the cohomology of that sequence as an Ext module involving a suitably defined equivariant homology of X. This can be combined with an equivariant version of Poincaré–Alexander–Lefschetz duality, which we also establish.

One consequence is that the GKM method for computing equivariant cohomology applies to a Poincaré duality space if and only if the equivariant Poincaré pairing is perfect.

1. Introduction

Consider an action of the torus $T \cong (S^1)^r$ on a space X satisfying some mild conditions (stated in Sections 3.1 and 4.1). Let X_i be the T-equivariant i-skeleton of X, i.e., the union of all orbits of dimension at most i. By work of Atiyah [3] and Bredon [6], the following "Atiyah–Bredon sequence" is exact if the equivariant cohomology $H_T^*(X)$ with rational coefficients is free over the polynomial ring $R = H^*(BT)$:

$$0 \to H_T^*(X) \to H_T^*(X_0) \to H_T^{*+1}(X_1, X_0) \to \cdots \to H_T^{*+r}(X_r, X_{r-1}) \to 0. \tag{1.1}$$

The part

$$0 \to H_T^*(X) \to H_T^*(X_0) \to H_T^{*+1}(X_1, X_0) \tag{1.2}$$

is also called the "Chang–Skjelbred sequence" because Chang and Skjelbred [10, Prop. 2.4] proved, roughly at the same time as Atiyah and Bredon, that (1.2) is exact if $H_T^*(X)$ is free over R. This assumption is known to hold for large classes of spaces, including compact Hamiltonian T-manifolds [16], [23, Prop. 5.8] and rationally smooth, complete complex algebraic varieties with an algebraic action of the complexification of T [4, Thm. II.1.2], [20, Thm. 14.1], [26]. In all these cases the sequence (1.2) provides a powerful way to compute $H_T^*(X)$, including the cup product, out of data related only to the fixed points and the one-dimensional orbits. In the important special case where X_1 is a union of 2-spheres, glued together at their poles, this is often referred to as the "GKM method", following work of Goresky–Kottwitz–MacPherson [20, Thm. 7.2]. It should be noted that one only needs exactness of a very small part of the Atiyah–Bredon sequence in order

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 55N91; secondary 13D02, 57N65, 57P10.

M. F. was partially supported by an NSERC Discovery Grant.

to apply this method. This suggests that the sequence (1.2) might be exact under much weaker assumptions than the freeness of $H_T^*(X)$.

In this paper we address the following questions:

1. Under which condition is the Chang–Skjelbred sequence exact? In other words: For which T-spaces does the GKM method work?
2. If the Atiyah–Bredon sequence is not exact, what is the meaning of its cohomology?

In fact, we look at a more general question than (1): Under which condition is the Atiyah–Bredon sequence exact from the left up to (and including) the j-th position, *i. e.*, up to the term $H_T^*(X_j, X_{j-1})$? Here exactness at $j = -1$ has to be interpreted as exactness at $H_T^*(X)$.

To answer this question, we need a notion from commutative algebra. A finitely generated R-module M is called a *j-th syzygy* if there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to M \to F^1 \to \cdots \to F^j \tag{1.3}$$

with finitely generated free R-modules F^1, …, F^j.

Theorem 1.1. *The following conditions are equivalent for any $1 \le j \le r$:*

1. *The Atiyah–Bredon sequence (1.1) is exact at $H_T^*(X_i, X_{i-1})$ for all $-1 \le i \le j-2$.*
2. *The restriction map $H_T^*(X) \to H_{T'}^*(X)$ is surjective for all subtori T' of T of rank $r-j$.*
3. *$H_T^*(X)$ is free over all subrings $H^*(BT'') \subset H^*(BT) = R$, where T'' is a quotient of T of rank j.*
4. *$H_T^*(X)$ is a j-th syzygy.*

The case $j = r$ implies Atiyah–Bredon's result as well as its converse, see Section 5.2.

To address the second question, we consider a suitably defined *equivariant homology* $H_*^T(X)$ of X. We stress that this is *not* the homology of the Borel construction X_T, see Section 3.3. Equivariant Poincaré duality holds in the sense that for a rational Poincaré duality space X of formal dimension n capping with the equivariant fundamental class gives an isomorphism $H_T^*(X) \to H_*^T(X)$ of degree $-n$. Moreover, let $H^*(AB^*(X))$ be the cohomology of the Atiyah–Bredon sequence (1.1), considered as a complex of R-modules and with the term $H_T^*(X)$ omitted. Our main result shows in particular that $H^*(AB^*(X))$ is completely determined by $H_*^T(X)$:

Theorem 1.2. *Let X be a T-space. For all $j \ge 0$ there is an isomorphism of R-modules*

$$H^j(AB^*(X)) = \operatorname{Ext}_R^j(H_*^T(X), R).$$

In particular, if X is a rational Poincaré duality space of formal dimension n, then for all $j \ge 0$ there is an isomorphism of R-modules of degree $-n$

$$H^j(AB^*(X)) = \operatorname{Ext}_R^j(H_T^*(X), R).$$

The Atiyah–Bredon sequence is the E_1 page of the spectral sequence induced by the equivariant skeletons X_i and converging to $H_T^*(X)$. Similarly, $\operatorname{Ext}_R^*(H_*^T(X), R)$ is the E_2 page of a universal coefficient spectral sequence computing $H_T^*(X)$ out of the equivariant chains on X, see Section 3.4. In Theorem 4.5 we strengthen

Theorem 1.2 by proving that these two spectral sequences are actually isomorphic from the E_2 page on.

Recall that an R-module M is called *reflexive* if the canonical map

$$M \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(M,R),R) \tag{1.4}$$

is an isomorphism. Moreover, a (graded symmetric) R-bilinear pairing $M \times M \to R$ is called *perfect* if it induces an isomorphism $M \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(M,R)$.

The following result is an immediate consequence of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. It essentially answers an open point raised by Guillemin–Ginzburg–Karshon, see Remark 5.9.

Corollary 1.3. *Let X be a rational Poincaré duality space. Then the following are equivalent:*

1. *The Chang–Skjelbred sequence* (1.2) *is exact.*
2. *The R-module $H_T^*(X)$ is reflexive.*
3. *The equivariant Poincaré pairing $H_T^*(X) \times H_T^*(X) \to R$ is perfect.*

For any $j \geq -1$ there are T-spaces such that the sequence (1.1) is exact from the left up to the term $H_T^{*+j}(X_j, X_{j-1})$, but not further, see Section 7.2. The situation changes if one restricts to rational Poincaré duality spaces: Allday [1] has shown that in this case torsion-freeness of $H_T^*(X)$ implies freeness if $r = 2$, *i. e.*, if $T \cong S^1 \times S^1$. There are counterexamples for $r \geq 3$ due to Franz and Puppe, *cf.* Section 7.3. The correct generalization of Allday's result says roughly that if the first half of the Atiyah–Bredon sequence is exact, then so is the rest:

Corollary 1.4. *Let X be a rational Poincaré duality space. If the Atiyah–Bredon sequence* (1.1) *is exact at $H_T^*(X_j, X_{j-1})$ for all $j < r/2 - 1$, then $H_T^*(X)$ is free over R.*

Most of our results have analogues for equivariant cohomology with compact supports, denoted by $H_{T,c}^*(X)$, and equivariant homology with closed supports, denoted by $H_*^{T,c}(X)$. Moreover, Poincaré duality and Poincaré–Alexander–Lefschetz duality can be used to combine different supports. We extend Poincaré–Alexander–Lefschetz duality to our equivariant setting and formulate it in terms of spectral sequences.

Theorem 1.5. *Let X be an oriented n-dimensional rational homology manifold with a T-action, and let*

$$\emptyset = X_{-1} \subset X_0 \subset \cdots \subset X_m = X$$

be a filtration by locally contractible, closed invariant subsets. Then taking the cap product with the equivariant orientation o_T induces an isomorphism (of degree $-n$) from the E_1 page on between the spectral sequences

$$E_1^p = H_{T,c}^*(X_p, X_{p-1}) \;\Rightarrow\; H_{T,c}^*(X), \tag{1.5}$$

$$E_1^p = H_*^T(X \setminus X_{p-1}, X \setminus X_p) \;\Rightarrow\; H_*^T(X). \tag{1.6}$$

(Here E_1^p refers to the p-th column of a spectral sequence, considered as R-module.) We use Poincaré–Alexander–Lefschetz duality in Proposition 6.8 to generalize a result of J. Duflot [12] from smooth actions on manifolds to continuous actions on homology manifolds.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we collect some results from algebra that we will need later on. In particular, we discuss Cohen–Macaulay modules, syzygies and the Koszul resolution. In Section 3 we review the singular Cartan model for T-equivariant cohomology and introduce equivariant homology. As in the non-equivariant theory, homology and cohomology are related via a universal coefficient theorem and via Poincaré duality. In Section 4 we prove our main result, the spectral sequence version of Theorem 1.2. Section 5 contains consequences of the main result, in particular to the partial exactness of the Atiyah–Bredon sequence and to Poincaré duality spaces. The extension of our results to cohomology with compact supports and homology with closed supports is discussed in Section 6. We conclude with two examples in Section 7.

2. Algebraic background

2.1. **Standing assumptions.** Unless specified otherwise, we work over a ground field $\Bbbk$ of arbitrary characteristic, and all tensor products are taken over $\Bbbk$. The letter R denotes a polynomial ring in r indeterminates of degree 2 with coefficients in $\Bbbk$, and $\mathfrak{m} \lhd R$ its maximal graded ideal. All R-modules are assumed to be graded. We consider $\Bbbk$ as an R-module (concentrated in degree 0) via the canonical augmentation. For an R-module M and an $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ the notation $M[l]$ denotes a degree shift by l, so that the degree l' piece of $M[l]$ is the degree $l'-l$ piece of M.

In the following subsections we review some notions from commutative algebra. Apart from the references given below, the reader might also find the summary of results in [2, App. A] helpful. They were compiled with applications in equivariant cohomology in mind.

All R-modules are assumed to be finitely generated for the rest of this section.

2.2. **Cohen–Macaulay modules.** Let M be an R-module. A sequence $a_1, \dots, a_j$ in R is *M-regular* if a_i is not a zero-divisor in $M/(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1})M$ for $1 \le i \le j$. If an M-regular sequence of length r exists, then M is free over R.

Assume $M \ne 0$. The depth of M is the common length of all maximal M-regular sequences. One always has

$$\operatorname{depth} M \le \dim M, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\dim M$ is the Krull dimension of the ring $R/\operatorname{ann} M$. If equality holds, one calls M *Cohen–Macaulay.* We will often make use of the following characterization, *cf.* the proof of [14, Prop. A1.16].

Proposition 2.1. *Let M be a non-zero R-module.*

1. $\dim M$ *is the largest integer i such that* $\operatorname{Ext}_R^{r-i}(M,R) \ne 0$.
2. $\operatorname{depth} M$ *is the smallest integer i such that* $\operatorname{Ext}_R^{r-i}(M,R) \ne 0$.
3. *M is Cohen–Macaulay of dimension j if and only if* $\operatorname{Ext}_R^{r-i}(M,R) = 0$ *for all $i \ne j$.*

The following well-known property of Cohen–Macaulay modules will be crucial for us, *cf.* [19, Lemma 4.3] or [2, Cor. A.6.16].

Lemma 2.2. *Let M be a Cohen–Macaulay R-module of dimension d. Then any non-zero submodule of M has dimension d. Equivalently, any map $N \to M$ of R-modules is trivial if* $\dim N < d$.

2.3. **Torsion-freeness.** Any free R-module is torsion-free, but of course the converse is false for $r > 1$. We review a useful way to interpolate between these two notions. A good reference for this material is [8, Sec. 16E].

Reflexive R-modules and syzygies have been defined in the introduction. By Hilbert's Syzygy Theorem, an R-module M is an r-th syzygy if and only if it is free over R. (This holds for not finitely-generated M as well.)

Proposition 2.3. *The following are equivalent for any R-module M and any $j \geq 1$:*

1. *M is a j-th syzygy.*
2. *Every R-regular sequence of length at most j is M-regular.*
3. *One of the following conditions holds, depending on j:*
   - $j = 1$: *M is torsion-free.*
   - $j = 2$: *M is reflexive.*
   - $j \geq 3$: *M is reflexive and $\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(M, R), R) = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq j-2$.*

2.4. **The Koszul resolution.** The easiest way to obtain syzygies over a polynomial ring is to use the Koszul resolution

$$0 \longrightarrow R[2r] \xrightarrow{\delta_r} R[2r-2]^{\binom{r}{r-1}} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow R[2]^{\binom{r}{1}} \xrightarrow{\delta_1} R \xrightarrow{\delta_0} \Bbbk \longrightarrow 0\,; \tag{2.2}$$

indeed, the image of δ_j is a j-th syzygy by definition. We define

$$K_j = \operatorname{im} \delta_j[-2j] = \ker \delta_{j-1}[-2j] \tag{2.3}$$

The degrees shifts ensure that K_j is generated in degree 0. For example, the maximal homogeneous ideal of R is $\mathfrak{m} = K_1[2]$, and $K_r = R$. (Recall that R is generated in degree 2.) We set $K_0 = \Bbbk$ and $K_{r+1} = 0$ for convenience.

In Section 7 we will need the following property of the Koszul syzygies.

Lemma 2.4. *For $1 \leq j \leq r$,*

$$\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(K_{r-j}, R) \cong \begin{cases} K_{j+1} & \text{if } i = 0, \\ \Bbbk[-2j] & \text{if } i = j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Moreover, any short exact sequence of R-modules

$$0 \longrightarrow R[l] \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow K_{r-j}[l'] \longrightarrow 0$$

splits if $j \neq 1$ or $l' \neq l-2$.

Proof. The first claim is an easy calculation based on the self-duality of the Koszul resolution. The second part follows from the first and the fact that (graded) extensions of the form above are classified by the degree 0 part of

$$\operatorname{Ext}^1_R(K_{r-j}[l'], R[l]) = \operatorname{Ext}^1_R(K_{r-j}, R)\big[l-l'\big]. \tag{2.4}$$

□

The observation that extensions of R-modules

$$0 \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow 0 \tag{2.5}$$

(with maps of degree 0) are classified by the degree 0 part of $\operatorname{Ext}^1_R(N, L)$ is certainly not new, but we could not locate it in the literature. It can be proven in the same way as in the ungraded case: Any free resolution $F_2 \to F_1 \to F_0 \to N$ gives rives to a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F_2 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "f_2"] & F_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "f_1"] & F_0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "f_0"] & N \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "="] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & L \arrow[r] & M \arrow[r] & N \arrow[r] & 0.
\end{tikzcd} \tag{2.6}$$

The map f_1 determines a degree 0 class in $\operatorname{Ext}^1_R(N,L)$. This class is independent of the choices made, and is zero if and only if the extension (2.5) splits.

3. Equivariant cohomology and homology

3.1. **Standing assumptions.** $C_*(-)$ and $C^*(-)$ denote normalized singular chains and cochains with coefficients in $\Bbbk$, and $H_*(-)$ and $H^*(-)$ singular (co)homology. We adopt a cohomological grading, so that the homology of a space lies in non-positive degrees; an element $c \in H_i(X)$ has cohomological degree $-i$.

Throughout, $T \cong (S^1)^r$ denotes a torus of rank r. The cohomology ring $R = H^*(BT)$ of its classifying space is a polynomial algebra on r generators of degree 2.

All T-spaces are assumed to be Hausdorff, second-countable, locally compact and locally contractible. Important examples are topological manifolds, complex algebraic varieties, and countable, locally finite CW complexes, each with a continuous T-action.

It follows from our assumptions that every subset $Y \subset X$ is paracompact, hence singular cohomology and Alexander–Spanier cohomology are naturally isomorphic for all T-pairs (X,Y) such that Y is locally contractible. This will be a standing assumption on all T-pairs we consider (which automatically holds for $Y \subset X$ open).

We additionally assume that the (co)homology of a space X is finite-dimensional over $\Bbbk$. This is equivalent to the equivariant cohomology $H^*_T(X)$ being finitely generated over R.

3.2. **The singular Cartan model.** It will be convenient to use the "singular Cartan model" [15, Sec. 7.3] (see also [22, Lemma 5.1] and [17, Sec. 5.1]). We recall the construction. For smooth manifolds and real coefficients, the exposition could be simplified by substituting the usual Cartan model $\Omega^*_T(X) = \Omega^*(X) \otimes R$ for the singular Cartan model $C^*_T(X)$. In particular, this would avoid some technical difficulties addressed in Remark 3.1.

The normalized singular chain complex $C_*(T)$ is a (graded) commutative dg bialgebra via the Pontryagin product and the Alexander–Whitney diagonal Δ. Moreover $C_*(X,Y)$ and $C^*(X,Y)$ are naturally dg modules over $C_*(T)$ for any T-pair (X,Y).

The $\Bbbk$-vector spaces $H_1(T)$ and $H^2(BT)$ are canonically dual to each other by the transgression homomorphism $H^1(T) \to H^2(BT)$. We choose dual bases $(x_1, \dots, x_r)$ of $H_1(T)$ and $(t_1, \dots, t_r)$ of $H^2(BT)$ as well as representatives $a_i \in C_1(T)$ of the homology classes x_i. We require the a_i to be primitive, *i. e.*, $\Delta a_i = a_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes a_i$ for all i. For example, if the basis (x_i) is induced by a basis of $H_1(T;\mathbb{Z})$, then a_i can be the 1-simplex that wraps once around the i-th factor of the corresponding decomposition of T into circles.

For a T-pair (X, Y), consider the free R-module

$$C^*_T(X, Y) = C^*(X, Y) \otimes R. \tag{3.1}$$

The assignments

$$d(\gamma \otimes f) = d\gamma \otimes f + \sum_{i=1}^{r} a_i \cdot \gamma \otimes t_i f \tag{3.2}$$

$$(\gamma \otimes f) \cup (\gamma' \otimes f') = \gamma \cup \gamma' \otimes f f' \tag{3.3}$$

turn $C^*_T(X, Y)$ into a dg R-algebra, that is, a dg algebra and dg R-module such that the product is R-bilinear. (Here one uses that $C_*(T)$ is (graded) commutative and that the representatives a_i are primitive.)

We define the T-equivariant cohomology of (X, Y) by

$$H^*_T(X, Y) = H^*(C^*_T(X, Y)) \tag{3.4}$$

and, following [17], call (3.1) is the *singular Cartan model* of (X, Y). It can be shown that $H^*_T(X, Y)$ is naturally isomorphic as R-algebra to $H^*(X_T, Y_T)$, where $X_T = (ET \times X)/T$ denotes the Borel construction (or homotopy quotient) of X. In particular, $H^*_T(X)$ does not depend on the choices made above.

Filtering (3.1) by R-degree leads to a first quadrant spectral sequence with

$$E_1 = E_2 = H^*(X, Y) \otimes R \;\Rightarrow\; H^*_T(X, Y). \tag{3.5}$$

This spectral sequence is isomorphic to the Serre spectral sequence for the fibration $X \to X_T \to BT$ from the E_2 page on, *cf.* the proof of [17, Thm. 4.7].

3.3. **Equivariant homology.**

We define the equivariant chain complex $C^T_*(X, Y)$ of the T-pair (X, Y) to be the R-dual of the singular Cartan model,

$$C^T_*(X, Y) = \operatorname{Hom}_R(C^*_T(X, Y), R). \tag{3.6}$$

(Recall that a map has degree $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ if it shifts degrees by m.) As in the non-equivariant case, $C^T_*(X, Y)$ is a dg module over $C^*_T(X, Y)$ via the cap product

$$C^*_T(X, Y) \otimes C^T_*(X, Y) \to C^T_*(X, Y), \quad (\alpha \cap b)(\beta) = (-1)^{|\alpha||b|} b(\alpha \cup \beta) \tag{3.7}$$

for α, $\beta \in C^*_T(X, Y)$ and $b \in C^T_*(X, Y)$.

The *equivariant homology* of (X, Y) is defined as $H^T_*(X, Y) = H(C^T_*(X, Y))$. Note that in general this is *not* the homology of the Borel construction X_T. For example, for a point $X = *$, $H^T_*(X) = R$ is free over R whereas $H_*(X_T) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\Bbbk}(H^*_T(X), \Bbbk)$ is torsion. We will see in Remark 3.1 that $H^T_*(X)$ is bounded below under our assumptions on spaces.

It turns out that $H^T_*(X)$ is a suitable equivariant homology in the sense that it enjoys many desirable properties. For example, it is related to $H^*_T(X)$ via a universal coefficient theorem over R (Proposition 3.3) and, in case of a Poincaré duality space, also via equivariant Poincaré duality (Proposition 3.5). It is therefore not surprising that other people have considered this or similar constructions before. We are aware of Jones [22, §5] (for $T = S^1$), Brylinski [9] (for intersection homology), Edidin–Graham [13, Sec. 2.8] (for algebraic varieties and homology with closed supports), van Hamel [25] (for discrete groups) and Kreck and Tene [24] (for stratifolds). Equivariant homology is also implicit in [2, p. 353].

Remark 3.1. The dg R-module $C^T_*(X,Y)$ is not bounded below, which will make convergence of spectral sequences a delicate issue. (In contrast, the R-dual of the usual Cartan model is bounded below as $\Omega^*(X)$ is bounded above.) It is therefore useful to observe that both $C^*_T(X,Y)$ and $C^T_*(X,Y)$ are R-homotopy equivalent to dg R-modules which are free as R-modules and bounded below. It follows that $H^T_*(X,Y)$ is bounded below as well.

For $C^*_T(X,Y)$, one can take the minimal Hirsch–Brown model $H^*(X,Y)\otimes R$ (with a twisted differential) as such a replacement [2, Cor. B.2.4]. Since $\operatorname{Hom}_R(-,R)$ preserves R-homotopies, the claim for $C^T_*(X,Y)$ follows.

Tensoring the R-homotopy equivalences between $C^*_T(X,Y)$ and a finitely generated free replacement $A\otimes R$ with $\Bbbk$ over R yields homotopy equivalences between $C^*(X,Y)$ and A. Hence, if one filters both dg R-modules by R-degree, then the maps of spectral sequences induced by the R-homotopy equivalences become isomorphisms from the E_1 page one. The same applies to $C^T_*(X,Y)$. Therefore, in any argument involving a comparison of spectral sequences obtained as above, one need not worry about convergence issues for $C^T_*(X,Y)$ as one could always replace this complex by one which is bounded below, without affecting the pages from E_1 on.

In particular, there is a convergent spectral sequence

$$E_1 = E_2 = H_*(X,Y)\otimes R \;\Rightarrow\; H^T_*(X,Y) \tag{3.8}$$

analogous to (3.5). Hence any equivariant map of T-pairs $(X,Y)\to(X',Y')$ which is a non-equivariant quasi-isomorphism induces an isomorphism not only in equivariant cohomology (by virtue of the Serre spectral sequence (3.5)), but also in equivariant homology.

We remarked in Section 3.2 that $H^*_T(X)$ does not depend on the choice of representatives $a_i\in C_1(T)$, hence neither on the chosen basis $x_1,\dots,x_r\in H_1(T)$. Although we will not need it in the sequel, we prove the analogous statement for $H^T_*(X)$ for the sake of completeness; an alternative proof for $H^*_T(X)$ will be given along the way.

Proposition 3.2. *Equivariant homology does not depend on the choice of representatives a_i. More precisely: Let $\hat a_1,\dots,\hat a_r$ be another set of representatives, and denote by $\hat H^T_*(X)$ the equivariant homology defined via them. Then $H^T_*(X,Y)$ and $\hat H^T_*(X,Y)$ are naturally isomorphic as R-modules, for all T-pairs (X,Y).*

Proof. We have $\hat a_i - a_i = d(b_i)$ for some chains $b_i\in C_2(T)$, $i=1,\dots,r$. The map

$$C^*_T(X,Y)\to\hat C^*_T(X,Y),\quad \gamma\otimes f\mapsto\gamma\otimes f-\sum_{i=1}^r b_i\cdot\gamma\otimes t_i f \tag{3.9}$$

is a morphism of dg R-modules, and the induced map between the E_1 pages (3.5) is the identity. Hence $H^*_T(X,Y)$ and $\hat H^*_T(X,Y)$ are naturally isomorphic. The claim for $H^T_*(X,Y)$ follows by dualizing. □

3.4. Universal coefficient theorem.

In the case of an ungraded coefficient ring, universal coefficient theorems are standard results in homological algebra. We need the following variant.

Proposition 3.3. *Let (X,Y) be a T-pair. Then there are spectral sequences, natural in (X,Y),*

$$E_2^p = \operatorname{Ext}_R^p(H_T^*(X,Y),R) \;\Rightarrow\; H_*^T(X,Y),$$
$$E_2^p = \operatorname{Ext}_R^p(H^T_*(X,Y),R) \;\Rightarrow\; H_T^*(X,Y),$$

Proof. Since $C_*^T(X,Y)$ is defined as the R-dual of $C_T^*(X,Y)$, the existence of the first spectral sequence follows from the following claim: Let M be a dg R-module which is free over R if one forgets the differential. Then there is a spectral sequence converging to $H^*(\operatorname{Hom}_R(M,R))$ with E_2 page $\operatorname{Ext}_R(H^*(M),R)$.

To prove this claim, we take a (graded) injective resolution

$$0 \to R \to I^0 \to \cdots \to I^r \to 0$$

with total complex I and consider the double complex

$$C = \operatorname{Hom}_R(M,I) \quad \text{with} \quad C^{pq} = \operatorname{Hom}_R(M,I^p)^q. \tag{3.10}$$

Filtering by q-degree and using the freeness of M, we see that the canonical injection $\operatorname{Hom}_R(M,R) \to C$ is a quasi-isomorphism. Filtering by p-degree instead leads to a spectral sequence with $E_1 = \operatorname{Hom}_R(H^*(M),I)$, hence with the desired E_2 page.

This also establishes the existence of a spectral of the second type converging to the cohomology of the R-dual of $C_*^T(X,Y)$. Since $H^*(X,Y)$ is a finite-dimensional vector space, another spectral sequence argument shows that the canonical inclusion

$$C_T^*(X,Y) \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(C_*^T(X,Y),R)$$

is a quasi-isomorphism. (Note that in this part we are using Remark 3.1 to ensure naive convergence of all spectral sequences.) □

Example 3.4. Consider the homogeneous space $X = T/T'$, where T' is a subtorus of rank $r-i$. Then $H_T^*(X) = H^*(BT') =: R'$, hence

$$\operatorname{Ext}_R^j(H_T^*(X),R) = \begin{cases} R'[-2i] & \text{if } j=i, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{3.11}$$

by a computation similar to Lemma 2.4. The universal coefficient spectral sequence therefore degenerates to a single column, and

$$H_*^T(X) = R'[-i]. \tag{3.12}$$

Note that both $H_T^*(X)$ and $H_*^T(X)$ are Cohen–Macaulay R-modules of dimension $r-i$.

A generalization of this toy example in Section 4.1 will be a crucial ingredient for our main result.

3.5. **Poincaré duality.** We say that X is a $\Bbbk$-*Poincaré duality space* (*PD space* for short) of formal dimension n if there is a distinguished class $o \in H_n(X)$ (the orientation) such that the Poincaré pairing (of degree $-n$)

$$H^*(X) \times H^*(X) \to \Bbbk, \quad (\alpha,\beta) \mapsto \langle \alpha \cup \beta, o\rangle \tag{3.13}$$

is non-degenerate. Equivalently, this pairing is perfect, which means that the induced map

$$H^*(X) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\Bbbk}(H^*(X),\Bbbk) = H_*(X), \quad \alpha \mapsto \alpha \cap o \tag{3.14}$$

is an isomorphism of vector spaces (of degree $-n$). For example, compact oriented $\Bbbk$-homology manifolds are PD spaces, *cf.* [5, §3.6].

Proposition 3.5. *Let X be a PD space of formal dimension n. The orientation o lifts uniquely to an equivariant orientation $o_T \in H_n^T(X)$ under the restriction map $H_*^T(X) \to H_*(X)$. Moreover, taking the cap product with o_T gives an isomorphism of R-modules (of degree $-n$)*

$$H_T^*(X) \xrightarrow{\cap o_T} H_*^T(X).$$

Proof. The map $H_*^T(X) \to H_*(X)$ is the edge homomorphism of the spectral sequence (3.8). Hence the first claim holds because $H_n(X) \otimes 1$ is the only term of total degree $-n$ in the E_1 page.

Let $c_T \in C_n^T(X)$ be a representative of o_T, so that its restriction to $C_n(X)$ is a representative of o. Consider the morphism of dg R-modules

$$C_T^*(X) \xrightarrow{\cap c_T} C_*^T(X)$$

and filter both sides by R-degree. It follows that the induced map between the E_1 pages of the associated spectral sequences is the R-linear extension of the non-equivariant Poincaré duality map, hence an isomorphism. □

For a different proof of Proposition 3.5 which uses the minimal Hirsch–Brown model in an essential way, see [2, pp. 352–353].

Remark 3.6. Equivariant Poincaré duality does not necessarily translate into the non-degeneracy (let alone, perfection) of the equivariant Poincaré pairing

$$H_T^*(X) \times H_T^*(X) \to R, \quad (\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \langle \alpha \cup \beta, o_T \rangle \tag{3.15}$$

For example, if $H_T(X)$ is a torsion R-module (which by the Localization Theorem means that there are no fixed points), then the pairing (3.15) is trivial. This happens for instance in Example 3.4 unless $T' = T$. We will come back to this point in Section 5.3.

4. The main result

4.1. **The orbit filtration.** For $-1 \le i \le r$, we define the *equivariant i-skeleton X_i* of X to be the union of orbits of dimension at most i. In particular, $X_{-1} = \emptyset$, $X_0 = X^T$ is the fixed point set, and $X_r = X$. All X_i are closed in X.

From now on we assume that the characteristic of our ground field $\Bbbk$ is 0. We also require that X be finite-dimensional and that the set $\{T_x^0 : x \in X\}$ be finite, where T_x^0 denotes the identity component of the isotropy group T_x. Moreover, all X_i are assumed to be locally contractible and all $H^*(X_i)$ finite-dimensional. This ensures that the X_i satisfy our assumptions on spaces stated in Section 3.1, and all pairs (X_i, X_j), $i \ge j$, our assumptions on T-pairs. See Remark 4.4 below for a possible weakening of these assumptions.

Proposition 4.1. *For $0 \le i \le r$, the R-modules $H_T^*(X_i, X_{i-1})$ and $H_*^T(X_i, X_{i-1})$ are zero or Cohen–Macaulay of dimension $r - i$.*

That $H_T^*(X_i, X_{i-1})$ is Cohen–Macaulay was already crucial for Atiyah [3, Lecture 7], hence also for Bredon [6] and Franz–Puppe [19].

Proof. Assume first that for all $x \in Y = X_i \setminus X_{i-1}$ the identity component T^0_x is a fixed subtorus $T' \subset T$ and choose a torus complement $T'' \subset T$. This gives a decomposition $R = H^*(BT') \otimes H^*(BT'') =: R' \otimes R''$. By tautness and excision,

$$H^*_T(X_i, X_{i-1}) = \varinjlim H^*_T(X_i, U) = \varinjlim H^*_T(Y, Y \cap U),$$

the direct limit being taken over all T-invariant open neighbourhoods U of X_{i-1} in X_i, which are cofinal among all open neighbourhoods. Using that $\Bbbk$ is a field of characteristic 0 and that T'' acts with finite isotropy, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &= \varinjlim R' \otimes H^*_{T''}(Y, Y \cap U) = \varinjlim R' \otimes H^*(Y/T'', (Y \cap U)/T'') \\ &= R' \otimes H^*(X_i/T'', X_{i-1}/T''). \end{aligned}$$

Because $H^*(X_i/T'', X_{i-1}/T'')$ is finite-dimensional over $\Bbbk$, there is a finite filtration of $H^*_T(X_i, X_{i-1})$ such that each successive quotient is free over R' with trivial R''-action. Such a quotient clearly is Cohen–Macaulay of dimension $r - i$, hence the same is true for $H^*_T(X_i, X_{i-1})$ by the long exact sequence for Ext. Moreover, by the Universal Coefficient Theorem (Proposition 3.3),

$$\begin{aligned} H^T_*(X_i, X_{i-1}) &= R' \otimes \operatorname{Ext}^i_{R''}(H^*(X_i/T'', X_{i-1}/T''), R'')[i] \\ &= R' \otimes H_*(X_i/T'', X_{i-1}/T'')[-i] \end{aligned}$$

is of the same algebraic type, so that this module is Cohen–Macaulay of dimension $r - i$ as well. (*Cf.* Example 3.4.)

In the general case, $X_i \setminus X_{i-1}$ is the disjoint union of finitely many spaces Y_α, $\alpha \in A_p$ such that $T^0_x = T_\alpha$ for all $x \in Y_\alpha$ and some subtorus $T_\alpha \subset T$ of rank $r - i$. Hence

$$H^*_T(X_i, X_{i-1}) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in A_p} H^*_T(X_i, X_i \setminus Y_\alpha),$$

and we can conclude by the same reasoning as before. □

The filtration of X by equivariant skeletons leads to a spectral sequence converging to $H^*_T(X)$. The E_1 page is the Atiyah–Bredon sequence (1.1) and the E_2 page therefore $H^*(AB^*(X))$. The corresponding spectral sequence for equivariant homology is much easier to understand:

Corollary 4.2. *The spectral sequence associated with the orbit filtration of* $C^T_*(X)$ *and converging to* $H^T_*(X)$ *degenerates at* $E^1_p = H^T_*(X_p, X_{p-1})$.

Proof. By Proposition 4.1, E^1_p is zero or Cohen–Macaulay of dimension $r - p$. Lemma 2.2 therefore rules out any non-zero higher differential $d^s \colon E^1_p \to E^1_{p-s}$. □

Corollary 4.3. $\operatorname{Ext}^q_R(H^T_*(X_p, X_{p'}), R) = 0$ *for* $q \le p'$ *or* $q > p$.

Proof. By Corollary 4.2, $H^T_*(X_p, X_{p'})$ has a filtration whose successive quotients are of the form $H^T_*(X_i, X_{i-1})$, $p \le i < p'$. The claim therefore follows from Proposition 4.1 and the long exact sequence for Ext. □

Remark 4.4. For a continuous torus action on a space X that is not known to be a T-CW complex it is not true, in general, that each X_i is locally contractible even when X is a topological manifold. One can easily avoid this technical difficulty as follows.

The Alexander–Spanier cohomology of a pair (A,B) of closed invariant subspaces can be expressed as

$$\check{H}^*_T(A,B) = \varinjlim H^*_T(U,V) \tag{4.1}$$

where (U,V) ranges over the open invariant neighbourhood pairs of (A,B). So one defines

$$\check{C}^*_T(A,B) = \varinjlim C^*_T(U,V) \tag{4.2}$$

and

$$\check{C}_*^T(A,B) = \operatorname{Hom}_R(\check{C}^*_T(A,B),R). \tag{4.3}$$

The proofs in this paper then proceed in exactly the same way, because the Localization Theorem requires Alexander–Spanier cohomology in this generality. (See, *e. g.*, [2, Ex. 3.2.11] for details.) Thus the results of this paper hold using this version of equivariant Alexander–Spanier cohomology and homology if X is Hausdorff, second-countable, locally compact and locally contractible even if some of the equivariant skeletons are not locally contractible.

4.2. **Comparing the spectral sequences.**

The ground field $\Bbbk$ is still assumed to be of characteristic 0, and the assumptions on the orbit filtration (X_i) stated in Section 4.1 remain in force.

The aim of this and the following sections is to prove the following result:

Theorem 4.5. *For any T-space X, the following two spectral sequences converging to $H^*_T(X)$ are naturally isomorphic from the E_2 page on:*

1. *The one induced by the orbit filtration with $E_1^p = H^*_T(X_p, X_{p-1})$,*
2. *The universal coefficient spectral sequence with $E_2^p = \operatorname{Ext}^p_R(H_*^T(X),R)$.*

Remark 4.6. The assumptions on $\Bbbk$ and on the filtration (X_i) are only required to apply Proposition 4.1 and Corollary 4.2. All results in Sections 4.1 and 4.2 are valid more generally for any field $\Bbbk$ and any filtration (X_i), $1 \le i \le r$, satisfying our assumption on T-spaces and such that $H^*_T(X_i,X_{i-1})$ and $H_*^T(X_i,X_{i-1})$ are zero or Cohen–Macaulay of dimension $r-i$. We will use this elsewhere to treat actions of p-tori $(\mathbb{Z}_p)^r$, which need some further considerations.

To begin with the proof, let

$$0 \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow I^0 \longrightarrow I^1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow I^r \longrightarrow 0 \tag{4.4}$$

be the minimal (graded) injective resolution of R, *cf.* [7, Sec. 3.6]. Recall that this resolution is constructed inductively by setting $M^0 = R$, I^i equal to the (graded) injective hull of M^i and $M^{i+1} = I^i/M^i$. In particular, there are short exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow M^i \longrightarrow I^i \longrightarrow M^{i+1} \longrightarrow 0. \tag{4.5}$$

Let I be the total complex associated to the injective resolution (4.4).

On the dg R-module $\operatorname{Hom}_R(C_*^T(X),I)$ we introduce two decreasing filtrations $\mathcal{O}$ and $\mathcal{I}$ with

$$\mathcal{O}^p = \operatorname{Hom}_R(C_*^T(X,X_{p-1}),I), \tag{4.6a}$$

$$\mathcal{I}^p = \operatorname{Hom}_R(C_*^T(X),I^{\ge p}). \tag{4.6b}$$

Because we cannot compare these filtrations directly, we additionally consider the "diagonal" filtration $\mathcal{D}$ with

$$\mathcal{D}^p = \mathcal{O}^p \cap \mathcal{I}^p = \operatorname{Hom}_R(C_*^T(X, X_{p-1}), I^{\geq p}). \tag{4.6c}$$

We are going to show in Sections 4.3 and 4.4 that the maps of spectral sequences associated to the inclusions $\mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{O}$ and $\mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{I}$ become isomorphisms from, respectively, the E_1 and E_2 pages on.

The orbit filtration also induces a decreasing filtration on $C_T^*(X)$, which by abuse of notation we denote by $\mathcal{O}$ as well.

Lemma 4.7. *The canonical map*

$$C_T^*(X) \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(C_*^T(X), I)$$

is a quasi-isomorphism preserving the filtrations $\mathcal{O}$. Moreover, the associated map of spectral sequences is an isomorphism from the E_1 page on.

Proof. The map above is clearly filtration-preserving. Because I is the direct sum of injective modules, the induced map on the E_0 page is

$$C_T^*(X_p, X_{p-1}) \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(C_*^T(X_p, X_{p-1}), I).$$

This map is a quasi-isomorphism, *cf.* the proof of Proposition 3.3. □

Note that

$$E_2^p(\mathcal{I}) = \operatorname{Ext}_R^p(H_*^T(X), R) \tag{4.7}$$

because each module I^p is injective. Together with the comparisons of $\mathcal{D}$, $\mathcal{O}$ and $\mathcal{I}$ below this completes the proof of Theorem 4.5 as the naturality in X is clear by construction. To prepare for the comparisons, we state two lemmas.

Lemma 4.8. $\operatorname{Hom}_R(H_*^T(X, X_p), I^q) = 0$ *for* $p \geq q$.

Proof. Assume that $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_R(H_*^T(X, X_p), I^q)$ is non-zero. Because I^q is the graded injective hull of M^q, there is an $a \in R$ such that $af(y) \in M^q$ for all $y \in H_*^T(X, X_p)$ and $0 \neq af \in \operatorname{Hom}_R(H_*^T(X, X_p), M^q)$. But this is impossible: Using Corollary 4.3 and the long exact Ext sequence coming from (4.5), one can show by induction on q that

$$\operatorname{Hom}_R(H_*^T(X, X_p), M^q) = \operatorname{Ext}_R^1(H_*^T(X, X_p), M^{q-1}) = \cdots = \operatorname{Ext}_R^q(H_*^T(X, X_p), R)$$

vanishes for $p \geq q$. □

Lemma 4.9. *The inclusion $M^p \to I^p$ induces a quasi-isomorphism*

$$\operatorname{Hom}_R(H_*^T(X_p, X_{p-1}), M^p[p]) \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(H_*^T(X_p, X_{p-1}), I).$$

Proof. The R-module $\operatorname{Hom}_R(H_*^T(X_p, X_{p-1}), I^{<p})$ vanishes by Lemma 4.8, and so does $\operatorname{Ext}_R^q(H_*^T(X_p, X_{p-1}), R)$ for $q \neq p$ by Proposition 4.1. As $M^p \to I^{\geq p}$ is an injective resolution, we find $\operatorname{Ext}_p^q(H_*^T(X_p, X_{p-1}), R) = \operatorname{Hom}_R(H_*^T(X_p, X_{p-1}), M^p)$. □

4.3. **Comparing $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{O}$.** We have

$$E_0^p(\mathcal{D}) = \operatorname{Hom}_R(C_*^T(X, X_{p-1}), I^p) \oplus \bigoplus_{p'>p} \operatorname{Hom}_R(C_*^T(X_p, X_{p-1}), I^{p'}), \tag{4.8}$$

$$E_0^p(\mathcal{O}) = \operatorname{Hom}_R(C_*^T(X_p, X_{p-1}), I). \tag{4.9}$$

Filtering both E_0 pages by I-degree gives spectral sequences converging to $E_1^p(\mathcal{D})$ and $E_1^p(\mathcal{O})$, respectively. By Lemma 4.8, the E_1 pages of these intermediate spectral sequences are equal. Hence the map $E_1^p(\mathcal{D}) \to E_1^p(\mathcal{O})$ is an isomorphism.

4.4. **Comparing $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{I}$.** We have

$$E_0^q(\mathcal{D}) = \operatorname{Hom}_R(C_*^T(X, X_{q-1}), I^q) \oplus \bigoplus_{q'>q} \operatorname{Hom}_R(C_*^T(X_q, X_{q-1}), I^{q'}), \tag{4.10}$$

$$E_0^q(\mathcal{I}) = \operatorname{Hom}_R(C_*^T(X), I^q). \tag{4.11}$$

The filtration $\mathcal{O}$ induces filtrations on both E_0 pages, This gives spectral sequences converging to $E_1^p(\mathcal{D})$ and $E_1^p(\mathcal{I})$, respectively, with E_0 pages

$$E_0^p E_0^q(\mathcal{D}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p < q, \\ \operatorname{Hom}_R(C_*^T(X_p, X_{p-1}), I^{\geq q}) & \text{if } p = q, \\ \operatorname{Hom}_R(C_*^T(X_p, X_{p-1}), I^q) & \text{if } p > q, \end{cases} \tag{4.12}$$

$$E_0^p E_0^q(\mathcal{I}) = \operatorname{Hom}_R(C_*^T(X_p, X_{p-1}), I^q). \tag{4.13}$$

For the second spectral sequence, we have

$$E_1^p E_0^q(\mathcal{I}) = \operatorname{Hom}_R(H_*^T(X_p, X_{p-1}), I^q). \tag{4.14}$$

Because each I^q is injective, Corollary 4.2 implies that this spectral sequence degenerates, $E_1^p E_0^q(\mathcal{I}) = E_\infty^p E_0^q(\mathcal{I}) \Rightarrow E_1^p(\mathcal{I})$.

For the first spectral sequence, we claim that

$$E_1^p E_0^q(\mathcal{D}) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{Hom}_R(H_*^T(X_p, X_{p-1}), M^p) & \text{if } p = q, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{4.15}$$

The claim is trivial for $p < q$, and Lemma 4.8 proves it for $p > q$. For the case $p = q$, we filter $\operatorname{Hom}_R(C_*^T(X_p, X_{p-1}), I^{\geq q})$ by I-degree. By the injectivity of the modules I^s, this leads to an intermediate spectral sequence whose E_1 page

$$E_1^s = \begin{cases} \operatorname{Hom}_R(H_*^T(X_p, X_{p-1}), I^s) & \text{if } s \geq p, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{4.16}$$

can in fact be written in the first form for all s by Lemma 4.8. Hence, the claim follows from Lemma 4.9, and the original spectral sequence degenerates at the E_1 level, too: $E_1^p E_0^q(\mathcal{D}) = E_\infty^p E_0^q(\mathcal{D}) \Rightarrow E_1^p(\mathcal{D})$.

So far, we know the associated graded modules of $E_1(\mathcal{D})$ and $E_1(\mathcal{I})$ induced by the filtration $\mathcal{O}$. We keep the filtration and compute $E_2(\mathcal{D})$ and $E_2(\mathcal{I})$ with the help of yet another spectral sequence, starting with $E_0 E_1(\mathcal{D}) = E_\infty E_0(\mathcal{D})$ and similarly for $\mathcal{I}$. Hence, the map $E_0^p E_1(\mathcal{D}) \to E_0^p E_1(\mathcal{I})$ is the quasi-isomorphism

$$\operatorname{Hom}_R(H_*^T(X_p, X_{p-1}), M^p) \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(H_*^T(X_p, X_{p-1}), I). \tag{4.17}$$

from Lemma 4.9. This forces $E_\infty^p E_1^q(\mathcal{D}) = E_\infty^p E_1^q(\mathcal{I})$, hence $E_2^q(\mathcal{D}) = E_2^q(\mathcal{I})$. In plain language, the spectral sequences for the filtrations $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{I}$ are isomorphic from the E_2 page on.

5. Consequences and applications

The ground field $\Bbbk$ is still assumed to be of characteristic 0 in this section, and the assumptions of spaces spelled out in Sections 3.1 and 4.1 remain in force as well.

5.1. **Immediate consequences.**

Theorem 4.5 implies in particular that the E_2 pages of the two spectral sequences coincide. Due to its importance, we state this result separately.

Theorem 5.1. *For any $j \geq 0$, the j-th cohomology of the (non-augmented) Atiyah–Bredon sequence is*

$$H^j(AB^*(X)) = \operatorname{Ext}^j_R(H^T_*(X), R).$$

Under this isomorphism, the map $H^*_T(X) \to H^0(AB^*(X))$ corresponds to the canonical map $H^*_T(X) \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(H^T_*(X), R)$.

Corollary 5.2. *Let X and X' be two T-spaces having isomorphic equivariant homology. Then $H^*(AB^*(X)) \cong H^*(AB^*(X'))$.*

Note that Corollary 5.2 holds, for instance, if there exists an equivariant quasi-isomorphism $X \to X'$, *i. e.*, a homotopy equivalence for the Borel constructions.

Remark 5.3. It is crucial for Corollary 5.2 that both X and X' satisfy our assumption on spaces as stated in Section 4.1. The conclusion does not hold, for example, for X and $X' = ET \times X$, except if X is a locally free T-space, although the projection $ET \times X \to X$ is always an equivariant quasi-isomorphism.

Remark 5.4. Let M be a finitely generated R-module and $H^*_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ its local cohomology with respect to the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} \lhd R$. Local duality in this case refers to an isomorphism of R-modules

$$H^{r-j}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\Bbbk}\bigl(\operatorname{Ext}^j_R(M, R[r]), \Bbbk\bigr), \tag{5.1}$$

cf. [14, Thm. A1.9].

Let $\mathcal{H}^T_*(X, Y) = H_*(X_T, Y_T)$ denote the *homology* of the Borel construction of the T-pair (X, Y) and $H_j(AB_*(X)) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\Bbbk}(H^j(AB^*(X)), \Bbbk)$ the homology of the non-augmented homological Atiyah–Bredon-sequence

$$\mathcal{H}^T_*(X_0) \leftarrow \mathcal{H}^T_{*+1}(X_1, X_0) \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow \mathcal{H}^T_{*+r}(X_r, X_{r-1}). \tag{5.2}$$

Then, by local duality, the isomorphism $H^j(AB^*(X)) = \operatorname{Ext}^j_R(H^T_*(X), R)$ is equivalent to the isomorphism of R-modules

$$H_j(AB_*(X)) = H^{r-j}_{\mathfrak{m}}(H^T_*(X))[r]. \tag{5.3}$$

5.2. **Partial exactness.**

In this section we characterize when a front piece of the Atiyah–Bredon sequence (1.1) is exact.

Lemma 5.5. *The Atiyah–Bredon sequence* (1.1) *is the E_1 page of a spectral sequence converging to* 0*. In particular, if it is exact at all but possibly two adjacent terms, then it is exact everywhere.*

The analogous statement holds if one localizes the Atiyah–Bredon sequence with respect to any multiplicative set $S \subset R$.

Proof. Let $Y = CX$ be the cone over X with apex $*$, and consider the filtration $Y_i = X \cup CX_i$, $-1 \le i \le r$. The localized Atiyah–Bredon sequence (1.1) (with $S^{-1}H_T^*(X)$ in column -1) is, up to degree shift, the E_1 page of the spectral sequence associated to this filtration and converging to $S^{-1}H_T^*(Y,*) = 0$. Since higher differentials cannot connect adjacent columns, non-zero terms in only one or two adjacent columns of the E_2 page would lead to $E_\infty \neq 0$, a contradiction. □

Theorem 5.6. *The following conditions are equivalent for any* $1 \le j \le r$*:*

1. *The Atiyah–Bredon sequence* (1.1) *is exact at* $H_T^*(X_i, X_{i-1})$ *for all* $-1 \le i \le j-2$.
2. *The restriction map* $H_T^*(X) \to H_{T'}^*(X)$ *is surjective for all subtori* T' *of* T *of rank* $r - j$.
3. $H_T^*(X)$ *is free over all subrings* $H^*(BT'') \subset H^*(BT) = R$*, where* T'' *is a quotient of* T *of rank* j.
4. $H_T^*(X)$ *is a* j*-th syzygy.*

For $i = -1$, exactness at $H_T^*(X_i, X_{i-1})$ must be read as exactness at $H_T^*(X)$. Note that by Lemma 5.5 the complete Atiyah–Bredon sequence is exact if condition (1) holds for $j = r$, and that a finitely generated R-module is an r-th syzygy if and only if it free over R. Theorem 5.6 therefore contains the Atiyah–Bredon result [6, Main Lemma] and its converse as special cases.

The implication (3) ⇒ (4) is not a purely algebraic fact: For $j = 1$ and $f \in R$ not a product of linear polynomials, the R-module $R/(f)$ is free over $\Bbbk[a]$ for all $0 \neq a \in H^2(BT)$, but it obviously has R-torsion.

Proof. (1) ⇒ (4): If $j = 1$, then the sequence $0 \to H_T^*(X) \to H_T^*(X_0)$ is exact, which means that $H_T^*(X)$ is a first syzygy. For $j \ge 2$, consider a finitely generated free resolution

$$F_{j-1} \to \cdots \to F_0 \to H_*^T(X) \to 0$$

Our assumption implies $\operatorname{Hom}_R(H_*^T(X), R) = H_T^*(X)$ and $\operatorname{Ext}_R^i(H_*^T(X), R) = 0$ for $1 \le i \le j-2$ by Theorem 5.1. Hence the sequence

$$0 \to H_T^*(X) \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(F_0, R) \to \cdots \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(F_{j-1}, R)$$

is exact, exhibiting $H_T^*(X)$ as a j-th syzygy.

(4) ⇒ (3): If $H_T^*(X)$ is finitely-generated over $H^*(BT'')$, this is a special case of the implication (1) ⇒ (2) in Proposition 2.3. Alternatively, it follows from Hilbert's Syzygy Theorem, which shows that it is true for not finitely-generated modules as well.

(3) ⇔ (2): Since $H_T^*(X) = H_{T/T'}^*(X_{T'})$, this equivalence reduces to the familiar statement that $H_T^*(X)$ is free over R if and only if the restriction map $H_T^*(X) \to H^*(X)$ is surjective (which holds even if $H^*(X)$ is infinite-dimensional).

(3) ⇒ (1): We do induction on j (for all r and X simultaneously), observing that the case $j = 0$ is void. By induction, we have $H^i(AB^*(X)) = 0$ for all $i < j-2$.

Recall that by the Localization Theorem (in the version of [2, Thm. 3.2.6]) one has an isomorphism

$$S^{-1}H_T^*(X_i, X_{i-1}) = S^{-1}H_T^*(X_i^S, X_{i-1}^S)$$

for any i and any multiplicative subset $S \subset R = H^*(BT)$, where

$$X^S = \{\, x \in X : \ker\bigl(H^*(BT) \to H^*(BT_x)\bigr) \cap S = \emptyset \,\}.$$

Choose a $(j-1)$-dimensional subtorus $T' \subset T$ transversal to T_x^0 for all $x \in X_{j-1}$. (This is possible because only finitely many such tori T_x^0 occur.) Set $T'' = T/T'$ and $S \subset R$ the multiplicative set generated by $H^2(BT'') \setminus \{0\}$. Then the image of S in $H^*(BT_x)$ contains 0 if and only if $x \notin X_{j-1}$. This implies $X_i^S = X_{j-1}^S$, hence $S^{-1}H_T^*(X_i, X_{i-1}) = 0$ for $i \geq j$ and therefore $S^{-1}H^i(AB^*(X)) = 0$ for all $i \notin \{j-2, j-1\}$. By Lemma 5.5, this forces $S^{-1}H^{j-2}(AB^*(X)) = 0$, too. Thus, it suffices to show that the localization map

$$H^{j-2}(AB^*(X)) \to S^{-1}H^{j-2}(AB^*(X))$$

is injective, or, equivalently, that $H^{j-2}(AB^*(X))$ has no S-torsion.

Pick an $a \in S$ and let $K \subset T$ be the subtorus such that $H^*(BK) = R/a$. By assumption, $H_T^*(X)$ is free over $\Bbbk[a]$, hence $H_K^*(X) = H_T^*(X)/a$. By our choice of S, the same holds for all pairs (X_i, X_{i-1}), $0 \leq i \leq j-2$, instead of X as can be seen from the computation of $H_T^*(X_i, X_{i-1})$ in the proof of Proposition 4.1. Hence, for $-1 \leq i \leq j-2$ the i-th term of the Atiyah–Bredon sequence of X with respect to K is $AB_K^i(X) = AB^i(X) \otimes_{\Bbbk[a]} \Bbbk$. Note that $H_K^*(X)$ is free over all subrings $H^*(BK'') \subset H^*(BK)$, where K'' is a quotient of K of rank $j-1$. By induction, we therefore have $H^i(AB_K^*(X)) = 0$ for all $i < j-2$. The universal coefficient theorem corresponding to the short exact coefficient sequence $0 \to (\Bbbk[a])[2] \to \Bbbk[a] \to \Bbbk \to 0$ now implies

$$\operatorname{Tor}_1^{\Bbbk[a]}(H^{j-2}(AB^*(X)), \Bbbk) = H^{j-3}(AB_K^*(X)) = 0.$$

Hence a is not a zero-divisor in $H^{j-2}(AB^*(X))$. □

5.3. **Poincaré duality spaces.**

Let X be a PD space of formal dimension n, and let and o_T be its equivariant orientation. As in Remark 3.6, we consider the equivariant Poincaré pairing

$$H_T^*(X) \times H_T^*(X) \to R, \quad (\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \langle \alpha \cup \beta, o_T \rangle \tag{5.4}$$

which is (graded) symmetric and of degree $-n$. Recall that it is *non-degenerate* if the induced morphism of R-modules

$$H_T^*(X) \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(H_T^*(X), R) \tag{5.5}$$

is injective, and *perfect* if (5.5) is an isomorphism (of degree $-n$). Note that non-degeneracy is equivalent to the perfection of the localized pairing

$$S^{-1}H_T^*(X) \times S^{-1}H_T^*(X) \to S^{-1}R, \tag{5.6}$$

where $S = R \setminus \{0\}$. Moreover, the map (5.5) corresponds to the map $H_T^*(X) \to H^0(AB^*(X))$ by Theorem 5.1.

Our first observation could alternatively be deduced from a result of Allday–Puppe [2, Thm. 5.2.5].

Proposition 5.7. *The equivariant Poincaré pairing* (5.4) *is non-degenerate if and only if $H_T^*(X)$ is torsion-free.*

Proof. As just remarked, the map (5.5) and the restriction map $H_T^*(X) \to H_T^*(X_0)$ have the same kernel. By the Localization Theorem (or Theorem 5.6), the latter map is injective if and only if $H_T^*(X)$ is torsion-free. □

Proposition 5.8. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

1. *The Chang–Skjelbred sequence* (1.2) *is exact.*
2. *The R-module $H_T^*(X)$ is reflexive.*

(3) *The equivariant Poincaré pairing* (5.4) *is perfect.*

They are also equivalent to the conditions in Theorem 5.6 for $j = 2$.

Proof. (1) ⇔ (2) is a rephrasing of Theorem 5.6 because reflexive R-modules are exactly the second syzygies. (1) ⇔ (3) follows from Theorem 5.1 and equivariant Poincaré duality. □

Remark 5.9. Similarly, any R-linear map $H_T^*(X) \to R$ is the Poincaré pairing with some class $\alpha \in H_T^*(X)$ if and only if the Chang–Skjelbred (or Atiyah–Bredon) sequence is exact at $H_T^*(X_0)$. The example given in Section 7.3 shows that this is not always the case. This clarifies a point raised by Guillemin–Ginzburg–Karshon [21, App. C.8.2].

In [1, Prop.], Allday proved the following for a PD space X: If $H_T^*(X)$ has homological dimension 1, then it has R-torsion. In particular, if $r = 2$, *i. e.*, if $T = S^1 \times S^1$, then $H_T^*(X)$ is torsion-free if and only if it is free. In other words, if $r = 2$, then the Atiyah–Bredon sequence for X is exact if and only if it is exact at $H_T^*(X)$. This equivalence breaks down for $r > 2$; see Section 7.3 for a counterexample. The correct generalization of Allday's result is as follows.

Proposition 5.10.

(1) *If* $H_T^*(X)$ *is a* j*-th syzygy and of homological dimension at most* j*, then it is free over* R.

(2) *If the Atiyah–Bredon sequence for* X *is exact at* $H_T^*(X_i, X_{i-1})$ *for all* $i < r/2 - 1$*, then* $H_T^*(X)$ *is free over* R.

Proof. If $H_T^*(X) = H_*^T(X)[n]$ is of homological dimension $\le j$, then $H^i(AB^*(X)) = \operatorname{Ext}_R^i(H_*^T(X), R)$ vanishes for $i > j$. On the other hand, if it is a j-th syzygy, then $H^i(AB^*(X)) = 0$ for $i \le j - 2$ by Theorem 5.6. Lemma 5.5 now proves the first claim.

To reduce the second claim to the first, let j be the largest integer $< r/2 + 1$. By assumption, the Atiyah–Bredon sequence is exact at all positions $i \le j - 2$, hence $H_T^*(X)$ is a j-th syzygy by Theorem 5.6. In particular, it admits a regular sequence of length j, so that its homological dimension is bounded by $r - j$. Now use the first part with $r - j \le j$. □

6. OTHER SUPPORTS

6.1. **Basic definitions.** In this section, $\Bbbk$ again denotes an arbitrary field, and X a T-space. We still assume that X fulfils the assumptions on T-spaces stated in Section 3.1, except for the finiteness condition on $H^*(X)$, which will be replaced by a new condition below.

Let (A, B) be a closed T-pair in X. We define the *equivariant cohomology of* (A, B) *with compact supports* by

$$H_{T,c}^*(A, B) = \varinjlim H_T^*(U, V) = H^*(C_{T,c}^*(A, B)), \tag{6.1}$$

where

$$C_{T,c}^*(A, B) = \varinjlim C_T^*(U, V) = \Bigl(\varinjlim C^*(U, V)\Bigr) \otimes R, \tag{6.2}$$

and the direct limits are taken over all T-stable open neighbourhood pairs (U, V) of (A, B) such that $X \setminus V$ is compact. By tautness and excision, this is easily seen to be naturally isomorphic to the Alexander–Spanier cohomology of the closure of (A,B) in the one-point compactification of X. Hence it does not matter whether (A,B) is considered a closed T-pair in X or in A.

The *equivariant homology of* (A,B) *with closed supports* is defined by taking the R-dual of (6.2),

$$C_*^{T,c}(A,B)=\operatorname{Hom}_R(C^*_{T,c}(A,B),R), \tag{6.3}$$

$$H_*^{T,c}(A,B)=H^*(C_*^{T,c}(A,B)). \tag{6.4}$$

Clearly, we have $H^*_{T,c}(A,B)=H^*_T(A,B)$ and $H_*^{T,c}(A,B)=H_*^T(A,B)$ if X is compact.

From now on we assume that all T-pairs (A,B) are such that $H^*_{T,c}(A,B)$ is a finitely generated R-module (or, equivalently, $H^*_c(A,B)$ a finite-dimensional $\Bbbk$-vector space). Note that this can be checked by computing the (equivariant) cohomology of the closure of (A,B) in any compactification satisfying our assumptions on T-spaces.

There are spectral sequences analogous to (3.5) and (3.8),

$$E_1=H^*_c(A,B)\otimes R \;\Rightarrow\; H^*_{T,c}(A,B), \tag{6.5}$$

$$E_1=H_*^c(A,B)\otimes R \;\Rightarrow\; H_*^{T,c}(A,B). \tag{6.6}$$

(Here we are using that the T-stable neighbourhood pairs of (A,B) are cofinal among all neighbourhood pairs (U,V) such that $X\setminus V$ is compact.) The Universal Coefficient Theorem (Proposition 3.3) carries over to this new pair of supports. Moreover, by excision one has, analogously to the non-equivariant case, isomorphisms of R-modules

$$H^*_{T,c}(A,B)=H^*_{T,c}(A\setminus B), \tag{6.7}$$

$$H_*^{T,c}(A,B)=H_*^{T,c}(A\setminus B). \tag{6.8}$$

Besides the cup product in $C^*_{T,c}(X)$ there is a well-defined map

$$C^*_{T,c}(X)\otimes C^*_T(X)\to C^*_{T,c}(X), \tag{6.9}$$

hence also a cap product

$$C^*_{T,c}(X)\otimes C_*^{T,c}(X)\to C_*^T(X) \tag{6.10}$$

as well as the corresponding maps in cohomology. This cap product is used for equivariant Poincaré duality for non-compact homology manifolds; the proof is otherwise analogous to the case of PD spaces (Proposition 3.5) and is again based on the non-equivariant result [5, §3.6]. Note that we do not assume homology manifolds to be connected, only that all connected components have the same dimension.

Proposition 6.1. *Let X be an n-dimensional oriented $\Bbbk$-homology manifold. The orientation $o\in H_n^c(X)$ lifts uniquely to an equivariant orientation $o_T\in H_n^{T,c}(X)$. Moreover, taking the cap product with o_T gives an isomorphism of R-modules (of degree $-n$)*

$$H^*_{T,c}(X)\xrightarrow{\cap o_T}H_*^T(X).$$

6.2. **Poincaré–Alexander–Lefschetz duality.** Classically, Poincaré–Alexander–Lefschetz duality (also called “Poincaré–Lefschetz duality”) refers to an isomorphism of vector spaces (of degree $-n$)

$$H_c^*(A,B) \cong H_*(X\setminus B, X\setminus A) \tag{6.11}$$

for any closed pair (A,B) in an oriented n-dimensional manifold X, *cf.* [11, §VIII.7], for instance. In this section we generalize this to equivariant (co)homology, and we also derive a spectral sequence version of it. A special feature of our approach is that we construct an honest chain map inducing the analogue of the isomorphism (6.11). This seems to be new and simplifies the proof in the non-equivariant case already.

Let X be an n-dimensional oriented $\Bbbk$-homology manifold and let

$$\emptyset = X_{-1} \subset X_0 \subset \cdots \subset X_m = X \tag{6.12}$$

be an increasing filtration of X by locally contractible, closed T-stable subsets. (In our applications, this will be the orbit filtration. See Remark 4.4 on how to lift the assumption of local contractability.) Write $\widehat{X}_i = X\setminus X_i$, so that the decreasing filtration of X by the open complements of the X_i can be written as

$$X = \widehat{X}_{-1} \supset \cdots \supset \widehat{X}_{m-1} \supset \widehat{X}_m = \emptyset. \tag{6.13}$$

The aim of this section is to establish the following result:

Theorem 6.2. *Taking the cap product with the equivariant orientation o_T induces an isomorphism from the E_1 page on between the spectral sequences*

$$E_1^p = H_{T,c}^*(X_p, X_{p-1}) \;\Rightarrow\; H_{T,c}^*(X), \tag{6.14}$$

$$E_1^p = H_*^T(\widehat{X}_{p-1}, \widehat{X}_p) \;\Rightarrow\; H_*^T(X). \tag{6.15}$$

Similarly, the spectral sequences

$$E_1^p = H_*^{T,c}(X_p, X_{p-1}) \;\Rightarrow\; H_*^{T,c}(X), \tag{6.16}$$

$$E_1^p = H_T^*(\widehat{X}_{p-1}, \widehat{X}_p) \;\Rightarrow\; H_T^*(X) \tag{6.17}$$

are isomorphic from the E_1 page on.

Let $U = (U_{-1}, U_0, \dots, U_m)$ be an increasing sequence of open subsets of X such that $X\setminus U_{-1}$ is compact and $X_i \subset U_i$ for all i. Any such sequence determines an open cover

$$\mathcal{U} = \bigl\{U_0, U_1\setminus X_0, \dots, U_m\setminus X_{m-1}\bigr\} \tag{6.18}$$

of X. We write $C^*(X\,|\,\mathcal{U})$ for the complex of $\mathcal{U}$-small cochains and similarly $C_T^*(X\,|\,\mathcal{U}) = C^*(X\,|\,\mathcal{U}) \otimes R$ for the corresponding singular Cartan model.

Lemma 6.3. *For any $-1 \le i \le m$ there is a well-defined relative cup product*

$$C_T^*(X, U_i\,|\,\mathcal{U}) \otimes C_T^*(X\setminus X_i) \xrightarrow{\cup} C_T^*(X\,|\,\mathcal{U}),$$

compatible with the restrictions to $C_T^*(X, U_j\,|\,\mathcal{U}) \otimes C_T^*(X\setminus X_j)$ for $j \ge i$.

Proof. Let $\alpha \in C_T^*(X, U_i\,|\,\mathcal{U})$ and $\beta \in C_T^*(X\setminus X_i)$, and consider a $\mathcal{U}$-small singular simplex σ in X. If σ lies in U_i, then so does any face σ' of it. Hence $\alpha(\sigma') = 0$ and $(\alpha\cup\tilde\beta)(\sigma) = 0$ for any preimage $\tilde\beta \in C_T^*(X)$ of β. If σ does not lie in U_i, then it lies in $X\setminus X_i$ since it is $\mathcal{U}$-small, and $(\alpha\cup\tilde\beta)(\sigma)$ is again independent of the choice of $\tilde\beta$. The compatibility is clear by construction. □

For $i \geq j$, write

$$\bar{C}^*_{T,c}(X_i, X_j) = \varinjlim C^*_T(U_i, U_j \,|\, \mathcal{U}) \tag{6.19}$$

where the direct limit is taken over all open covers $\mathcal{U}$ induced by sequences U as above, and let $\bar{C}^{T,c}_*(X_i, X_j)$ be the R-dual complex. Note that for $i \geq j$ we have short exact sequences

$$0 \to \bar{C}^*_{T,c}(X, X_i) \to \bar{C}^*_{T,c}(X, X_j) \to \bar{C}_{T,c}(X_i, X_j) \to 0 \tag{6.20}$$

and that the canonical maps $\bar{C}^*_{T,c}(X_i, X_j) \to C^*_{T,c}(X_i, X_j)$ and $C^{T,c}_*(X_i, X_j) \to \bar{C}^{T,c}_*(X_i, X_j)$ are quasi-isomorphisms by tautness.

By passing to the direct limit in Lemma 6.3, we get the family of relative cup products

$$\bar{C}^*_{T,c}(X, X_i) \otimes C^*_T(X \setminus X_i) \xrightarrow{\cup} \bar{C}^*_{T,c}(X). \tag{6.21}$$

Fix a representative $c_T \in \bar{C}^{T,c}_n(X)$ of the equivariant orientation $o_T \in H^{T,c}_n(X)$. Composition of (6.21) with c_T yields a pairing

$$\bar{C}^*_{T,c}(X, X_i) \otimes C^*_T(X \setminus X_i) \to R, \tag{6.22}$$

which we interpret as a map

$$\bar{C}^*_{T,c}(X, X_i) \to C^T_*(X \setminus X_i) = C^T_*(\widehat{X}_i). \tag{6.23}$$

As a result, we get the following diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \bar{C}^*_{T,c}(X, X_i) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \bar{C}^*_{T,c}(X) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \bar{C}_{T,c}(X_i) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & C^T_*(\widehat{X}_i) \arrow[r] & C^T_*(X) \arrow[r] & C^T_*(X, \widehat{X}_i) \arrow[r] & 0.
\end{tikzcd} \tag{6.24}$$

We now filter $\bar{C}^*_{T,c}(X)$ and $C^T_*(X)$ by

$$\mathcal{O}_i = \bar{C}^*_{T,c}(X, X_{i-1}), \tag{6.25}$$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_i = C^T_*(\widehat{X}_{i-1}) \tag{6.26}$$

for $0 \leq i \leq m$. This leads to a map of spectral sequences with

$$E^i_0(\mathcal{O}) = \bar{C}^*_{T,c}(X_i, X_{i-1}) \to E^i_0(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}) = C^T_*(\widehat{X}_{i-1}, \widehat{X}_i), \tag{6.27}$$

$$E^i_1(\mathcal{O}) = H^*_{T,c}(X_i, X_{i-1}) \to E^i_1(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}) = H^T_*(\widehat{X}_{i-1}, \widehat{X}_i). \tag{6.28}$$

Note that the map (6.28) is independent of the choice of the representative c_T.

We show that (6.28) is an isomorphism by induction on the length m of the filtration. For $m = 0$ we essentially get the equivariant Poincaré isomorphism from Proposition 6.1. For $m > 0$ consider the homology manifold $X' = X \setminus X_0$. The induced filtration $X'_i = X_i \setminus X_0$ has effectively only length $m - 1$ as $X'_0 = \emptyset$. Any neighbourhood sequence U for X as above restrict to an admissible neighbourhood sequence U' for X' (whose entry $U'_{-1} = U_{-1} \setminus X_0$ is irrelevant), and all U' are of this form. The same applies to open covers $\mathcal{U}'$; the set U'_{-1} is irrelevant for $\mathcal{U}'$-small simplices because it is contained in $U'_0 \setminus X'_0 = U'_0$. We assume that the canonical image of c_T in $\bar{C}^{T,c}_n(X')$ has been chosen as representative c'_T.

An excision argument similar to 6.7 shows that the canonical map

$$\bar{C}^*_{T,c}(X, X_i) \to \bar{C}^*_{T,c}(X', X'_i) \tag{6.29}$$

is a quasi-isomorphism for $i \geq 0$. From this and diagram (6.24) we infer that (6.28) is an isomorphism for $i = 0$. For $i > 0$ the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\bar{C}^*_{T,c}(X, X_i) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & C^T_*(\widehat{X}_i) \arrow[d, "="] \\
\bar{C}^*_{T,c}(X', X'_i) \arrow[r] & C^T_*(\widehat{X}'_i)
\end{tikzcd} \tag{6.30}$$

commutes. Hence, the map (6.28) is the same in this case as the corresponding map between the E_1 pages of the spectral sequences for X', which is an isomorphism by induction.

The second part of Theorem 6.2 follows analogously by dualizing (6.23) and the filtrations $\mathcal{O}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}$. This finishes the proof.

6.3. **Applications to the orbit structure.** All of our results in Sections 4 and 5 have analogues for the other pair of supports, with identical proofs. We content ourselves by stating the most important ones. In this section $\Bbbk$ denotes a field of characteristic 0, and (X_i) is the orbit filtration introduced in Section 4.1.

Proposition 6.4. *For $0 \leq i \leq r$, the R-modules $H^*_{T,c}(X_i, X_{i-1})$ and $H^{T,c}_*(X_i, X_{i-1})$ are zero or Cohen–Macaulay of dimension $r - i$.*

Corollary 6.5. *The spectral sequence associated with the orbit filtration of $C^{T,c}_*(X)$ and converging to $H^{T,c}_*(X)$ degenerates at $E^1_p = H^{T,c}_*(X_p, X_{p-1})$.*

Theorem 6.6. *For any T-space X, the following two spectral sequences converging to $H^*_{T,c}(X)$ are naturally isomorphic from the E_2 page on:*

1. *The one induced by the orbit filtration with $E^p_1 = H^*_{T,c}(X_p, X_{p-1})$,*
2. *The universal coefficient spectral sequence with $E^p_2 = \operatorname{Ext}^p_R(H^{T,c}_*(X), R)$.*

Theorems 4.5 and 6.6 may be combined with Poincaré duality and Poincaré–Alexander–Lefschetz duality in various ways. The following result is an example of this.

Corollary 6.7. *Let X be an orientable $\Bbbk$-homology manifold. Then the following spectral sequences are isomorphic from the E_2 page on:*

$$E^p_1 = H^T_*(\widehat{X}_{p-1}, \widehat{X}_p) \ \Rightarrow\ H^T_*(X),$$
$$E^p_2 = \operatorname{Ext}^p_R(H^*_T(X), R) \ \Rightarrow\ H^T_*(X).$$

Proof. Let $n = \dim X$. By Theorem 6.2, the first spectral sequence is isomorphic, from the E_1 page on, to the spectral sequence

$$E^p_1 = H^*_{T,c}(X_p, X_{p-1})[-n] \ \Rightarrow\ H^*_{T,c}(X)[-n]. \tag{6.31}$$

By Poincaré duality, the second spectral sequence is isomorphic to

$$E^p_2 = \operatorname{Ext}^p_R(H^{T,c}_*(X), R)[-n] \ \Rightarrow\ H^*_{T,c}(X)[-n]. \tag{6.32}$$

Hence the claim follows from Theorem 6.6. □

Let X be an n-dimensional differentiable manifold with a smooth T-action. Since $X_p\backslash X_{p-1}$ is an invariant submanifold of $\widehat{X}_{p-1} = X\backslash X_{p-1}$ (whose components might not all have the same dimension), one has an equivariant Thom isomorphism

$$H_T^*(\widehat{X}_{p-1}, \widehat{X}_p) \cong H_T^*(X_p \setminus X_{p-1}), \tag{6.33}$$

up to degree shifts. (In the orientable case, this is of course a consequence of Theorem 6.2.) Consider the decreasing filtration

$$\mathcal{F}^p = \ker\bigl(H_T^*(X) \to H_T^*(\widehat{X}_p)\bigr) \tag{6.34}$$

of $H_T^*(X)$. In [12, Thm. 1], J. Duflot showed that the map $H_T^*(X) \to H_T^*(\widehat{X}_p)$ is surjective for any p, and that the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}^p \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}^{p-1} \longrightarrow H_T^*(X_p \setminus X_{p-1}) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{6.35}$$

is exact. Using (6.33), these conditions can be seen to be equivalent to the degeneration of the spectral sequence coming from the filtration $(\mathcal{F}^p)$ at the E_1 page.

We can generalize this result to continuous actions on homology manifolds. Unlike [12], we have to make an orientability assumption in order to use our version of Poincaré–Alexander–Lefschetz duality.

Proposition 6.8. *Let X be an orientable $\Bbbk$-homology manifold. Then the spectral sequence associated to the filtration $(\widehat{X}_i)$ and converging to $H_T^*(X)$ degenerates at the E_1 page.*

Proof. By Theorem 6.2, this spectral sequence is isomorphic, from the E_1 page on, to the spectral sequence converging to $H_*^{T,c}(X)$ with $E_1^p = H_*^{T,c}(X_p, X_{p-1})$. The latter degenerates by Corollary 6.5. □

7. Examples

7.1. **Motivation.** In this section we are going to construct examples that illustrate our results, in particular the criteria for (partial) exactness of the Atiyah–Bredon sequence given in Theorem 5.6 and Proposition 5.10 (2).

By Theorem 5.6, partial exactness is related to syzygies, and examples of those come from the Koszul resolution, *cf.* Section 2.4. In the context of equivariant cohomology, the Koszul resolution appears as the page $E_2^p = H^p(T) \otimes R$ of the Serre spectral sequence for $X = T$. Up to degree shifts, the differentials $d_2^p\colon E_2^p \to E_2^{p-1}$ are isomorphic to the maps δ_p in (2.2).

Here we have used the special case $X = T$ of the following general fact: The differential d_2 of the Serre spectral sequence (3.5) for a T-space X is determined by the action of $H_1(T)$ on $H^*(X)$. In fact, using the dual bases $a_1, \ldots, a_r$ for $H_1(T)$ and $t_1, \ldots, t_r$ for $H^2(BT)$ introduced in Section 3.2, the differential can be written in the form

$$d_2([\gamma] \otimes f) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} [a_i \cdot \gamma] \otimes t_i f, \tag{7.1}$$

cf. the definition of the differential (3.2) in the singular Cartan model.

Our strategy therefore is to find T-spaces X whose cohomology $H^*(X)$ contains suitable pieces of $H^*(T)$, including an isomorphic action of $H_1(T)$.

7.2. **Toric varieties.** Let X be a T-space such that $H_T^*(X)$ is not free over R. By Atiyah and Bredon's result and Lemma 5.5, this means that the Atiyah–Bredon sequence must be non-exact at two non-adjacent positions. In this section we construct, for any $r \geq 1$, a "minimally non-exact" example in the sense that

$$H^i(\overline{AB}^*(X)) \cong \begin{cases} \Bbbk & \text{if } i = r-2, \\ \Bbbk[-1] & \text{if } i = r, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{7.2}$$

where $\overline{AB}^*(X)$ denotes the (augmented) Atiyah–Bredon sequence (1.1). In particular, $H_T^*(X)$ will necessarily be an $(r-1)$-st syzygy by Theorem 5.6.

Let $X = (\mathbb{CP}^1)^r \setminus \{(N,\dots,N),(S,\dots,S)\}$, where N and S are the two fixed points for the standard action of S^1 on $\mathbb{CP}^1 = S^2$, and let $T = (S^1)^r$ act on X by the restriction of the componentwise action. We obtain a smooth non-compact toric variety. For $r = 1$, we have $X = \mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$, and (7.2) clearly holds. We therefore assume $r \geq 2$ in what follows.

The (co)homology of X is

$$H^j(X) \cong H_j(X) \cong \begin{cases} \Bbbk^{\binom{r}{i}} & \text{if } j = 2i \leq 2(r-1), \\ \Bbbk & \text{if } j = 2r-1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{7.3}$$

Let $\pi\colon X \to X/T = Q$ be the quotient map. Note that Q is an r-dimensional cube $I^r = [0,1]^r$ with two opposite vertices v_N, v_S removed. As for any toric variety, the quotient map admits a section. Specifically, I^r can be embedded into $(S^2)^r$ by sending each interval I to a meridian of the corresponding sphere, and this map restricts to a section $\iota\colon Q \to X$.

According to (7.3), the map $H^j(X) \to H^{j-1}(X)$ induced by any $a \in H_1(T)$ is necessarily trivial unless $j = 2r-1$. Translated into cohomology, the following lemma says that for $j = 2r-1$ this action looks exactly like the one between the two top degrees of $H^*(T)$.

Lemma 7.1. *There are isomorphisms of vector spaces $f_{r-1}\colon H_{r-1}(T) \to H_{2r-2}(X)$ and $f_r\colon H_r(T) \to H_{2r-1}(X)$ such that the following diagram commutes for every $a \in H_1(T)$:*

$$\begin{tikzcd} H_{r-1}(T) \arrow[r, "f_{r-1}", "\cong"'] \arrow[d, "a\cdot"'] & H_{2r-2}(X) \arrow[d, "a\cdot"] \\ H_r(T) \arrow[r, "f_r", "\cong"'] & H_{2r-1}(X) \end{tikzcd} \tag{7.4}$$

Proof. Let $S \subset Q$ be the union of the $(r-2)$-dimensional faces of I^r not containing v_N or v_S. Topologically, this is an $(r-2)$-sphere, and the cone C over it with apex, the centre of I^r is an $(r-1)$-disc. The quotient Q can be deformed into C in such a way that the following property holds: The faces visited by any point during the deformation retraction form a descending chain with respect to inclusion. This implies that the retraction can by extended to a T-equivariant deformation retraction of X onto $Y := \pi^{-1}(C)$.

Now Y is obtained from the $2(r-2)$-dimensional preimage of S by attaching an equivariant cell $D^{r-1} \times T$ of total dimension $2r-1$. Therefore, the map

$$H_j(X) = H_j(Y) \to H_j(\pi^{-1}(C), \pi^{-1}(S)) \tag{7.5}$$

is an isomorphism in degrees $j = 2r-2$ and $2r-1$.

For $1 \le i \le r$, consider the $(r-1)$-cube $P_i = I^{i-1} \times \{1/2\} \times I^{r-i}$. The inclusion $P_i \hookrightarrow Q$ extends to an equivariant inclusion

$$\psi^{(i)} \colon T \cdot \iota(P_i) = (S^2)^{i-1} \times S^1 \times (S^2)^{r-i} \hookrightarrow X. \tag{7.6}$$

It is not difficult to verify that the images

$$b_i = \psi^{(i)}_*\bigl((S^2)^{i-1} \times \{1\} \times (S^2)^{r-i}\bigr) = \bigl[a_1 \cdots \widehat{a}_i \cdots a_r \cdot \iota(P_i)\bigr] \tag{7.7}$$

form a basis of $H_{2r-2}(X) = H_{2r-2}((S^2)^r)$. Moreover, each b_i is annihilated by all $a_j \in H_1(T)$ with $j \ne i$, and $a_i \cdot b_i$ is the image of the fundamental class of $T \cdot \iota(P_i)$. Since P_i can be deformed within Q to C in the same way as above, we get

$$(-1)^{i-1} a_i \cdot b_i = [a_1 \cdots a_r \cdot \iota(C)] = [Y] \in H_{2r-1}(X). \tag{7.8}$$

Hence, we may define $f_{r-1}(a_1 \cdots \widehat{a}_i \cdots a_r) = (-1)^{i-1} b_i$ for $1 \le i \le r$ and $f_r(a_1 \cdots a_r) = [Y]$ to validate the claim. □

Consider now the Serre spectral sequence converging to $H^*_T(X)$ with E_2 page $H^*(X) \otimes R$. The only non-zero differential on this page is $d_2 \colon H^{2r-1}(X) \otimes R \to H^{2r-2}(X) \otimes R$. By the dual version of Lemma 7.1 and the remarks made in Section 7.1, this is isomorphic to the differential δ_r between the last two pieces of the Koszul resolution (2.2). Hence,

$$E_3^j \cong \begin{cases} R^{\binom{r}{i}} & \text{if } j = 2i \le 2(r-2), \\ K_{r-1} & \text{if } j = 2(r-1), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{7.9}$$

is concentrated in even degree, so that the spectral sequence degenerates. We obtain a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=0}^{r-2} R[2i]^{\binom{r}{i}} \longrightarrow H^*_T(X) \longrightarrow K_{r-1}[2(r-1)] \longrightarrow 0, \tag{7.10}$$

which splits by Lemma 2.4. We thus get

$$H^*_T(X) \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{r-2} R[2i]^{\binom{r}{i}} \oplus K_{r-1}[2(r-1)], \tag{7.11}$$

which is valid for $r = 1$ as well. In particular, $H^*_T(X)$ is an $(r-1)$-st syzygy, and the Atiyah–Bredon sequence for X is exact at positions $i \le r-3$.

The space Y considered in the proof of Lemma 7.1 has no $(r-1)$-dimensional orbits, and the free part comes from attaching an equivariant cell $D^{r-1} \times T$. Since Y is compact and T-homotopy equivalent to X, we can use Corollary 5.2 to obtain $H^{r-1}(\overline{AB}^*(X)) = H^{r-1}(\overline{AB}^*_c(Y)) = 0$ and $H^r(\overline{AB}^*(X)) = H^r(\overline{AB}^*_c(Y)) = \Bbbk[-1]$. Lemma 5.5 forces the missing value $H^{r-2}(\overline{AB}^*(X))$ to equal $\Bbbk$ and establishes (7.2).

Similar to the preceding computation, one can compute the equivariant homology $H^T_*(X)$ by means of a spectral sequence with E_2 page $H_*(X) \otimes R$. Here no extension problems arise, and one finds

$$H_*^T(X) \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{r-2} R[-2i]^{\binom{r}{i}} \oplus K_2[-2(r-1)] \oplus \Bbbk[-(2r-1)], \tag{7.12}$$

which again holds for $r = 1$ as well. To confirm Theorem 5.6, we invoke Lemma 2.4 and obtain for $r \geq 3$

$$\operatorname{Ext}_R^i(H_*^T(X), R) \cong \begin{cases} \bigoplus_{i=0}^{r-2} R[2i]^{\binom{r}{i}} \oplus K_{r-1}[2(r-1)] & \text{if } i = 0, \\ \Bbbk & \text{if } i = r-2, \\ \Bbbk[-1] & \text{if } i = r, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{7.13}$$

For $r = 2$ one has $\operatorname{Ext}_R^0(H_*^T(X), R) = R^2$.

This example also illustrates the following point:

Remark 7.2. Assume that $H_T^*(X)$ is not free. Then the equivariant homology $H_*^T(X)$ may well have R-torsion, even if $H_T^*(X)$ is "as close to being free as possible", that is, an $(r-1)$-st syzygy. In particular, that $H_T^*(X)$ is reflexive does not imply that $H_*^T(X)$ is so, nor that it is the R-dual of $H_T^*(X)$.

Remark 7.3. Instead of two fixed points one could remove small T-stable open neighbourhoods of them from $(S^2)^r$. This way one would obtain a smooth manifold Y equivariantly homotopy-equivalent to X which is compact and with boundary instead of non-compact without boundary. It follows from Proposition 5.10 (2) that there is no Poincaré duality space satisfying (7.2) for $r \geq 2$.

We know turn to cohomology with compact supports and homology with closed supports. Applying Poincaré duality to (7.11) and (7.12), one finds

$$H_{T,c}^*(X) \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{r-2} R[2(r-i)]^{\binom{r}{i}} \oplus K_2[2] \oplus \Bbbk[1], \tag{7.14}$$

$$H_*^{T,c}(X) \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{r-2} R[-2(r-i)]^{\binom{r}{i}} \oplus K_{r-1}[-2], \tag{7.15}$$

hence

$$\operatorname{Ext}_R^i(H_*^{T,c}(X), R) = \begin{cases} \bigoplus_{i=0}^{r-2} R[2(r-i)]^{\binom{r}{i}} \oplus K_2[2] & \text{if } i = 0, \\ \Bbbk & \text{if } i = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{7.16}$$

by Lemma 2.4.

The Atiyah–Bredon sequence for X with compacts supports is exact at positions $i \geq 2$. This follows from (6.7) and the exactness of the corresponding sequence for $\mathbb{CP}^r$. Since $H^{-1}(\overline{AB}_c^*(X))$ is the torsion submodule of $H_{T,c}^*(X)$, the remaining terms are dictated by the analogue of Lemma 5.5 for compact supports. Thus,

$$H^i(\overline{AB}_c^*(X)) \cong \begin{cases} \Bbbk[1] & \text{if } i = -1, \\ \Bbbk & \text{if } i = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{7.17}$$

which matches (7.16).

7.3. **Mutants.** Let X be the 7-dimensional "mutant" constructed in [18]. This is a compact orientable manifold with a smooth action of the torus $T = (S^1)^3$. It is homeomorphic to the connected sum of 3 copies of $S^3 \times S^4$, hence its cohomology groups are

$$H^i(X) \cong \begin{cases} \Bbbk & \text{if } i = 0 \text{ or } 7, \\ \Bbbk^3 & \text{if } i = 3 \text{ or } 4, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{7.18}$$

The action of $H_1(T)$ between $H^4(X)$ and $H^3(X)$ is isomorphic to that between $H^2(T)$ and $H^1(T)$ in the sense of Lemma 7.1. As shown in [18], the Serre spectral sequence degenerates on the E_3 page, and the equivariant cohomology

$$H_T^*(X) \cong R \oplus K_1[3] \oplus K_3[6] \oplus R[7] \tag{7.19}$$

$$= R \oplus \mathfrak{m}[1] \oplus R[6] \oplus R[7] \tag{7.20}$$

is torsion-free, but not free. By Poincaré duality, we get

$$H_*^T(X) \cong R \oplus R[-1] \oplus \mathfrak{m}[-6] \oplus R[-7], \tag{7.21}$$

hence

$$\operatorname{Ext}_R^j(H_*^T(X), R) \cong \begin{cases} R \oplus R[1] \oplus R[6] \oplus R[7] & \text{if } j = 0, \\ \Bbbk & \text{if } j = 2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{7.22}$$

As $H_T^*(X)$ is not free over R, the Atiyah–Bredon sequence cannot be exact. In fact, one finds that its cohomology is

$$H^i(\overline{AB}^*(X)) \cong \begin{cases} \Bbbk[1] & \text{if } i = 0, \\ \Bbbk & \text{if } i = 2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{7.23}$$

which matches (7.22) and (7.20).

Remark 7.4. In all examples presented, the cohomology of the augmented Atiyah–Bredon sequence exhibits some sort of symmetry. This is not a general feature. A counterexample is the product $X \times X$ of the mutant with itself together with the canonical action of $T \times T$. To compute $H^*(\overline{AB}^*_{T\times T}(X \times X))$, one can use that the cohomology of the *non-augmented* Atiyah–Bredon sequence is compatible with products,

$$H^*(AB^*_{T\times T}(X \times X)) = H^*(AB^*_T(X)) \otimes H^*(AB^*_T(X)) \tag{7.24}$$

and use this to compute $H^0(\overline{AB}^*_{T\times T}(X \times X))$.

References

[1] C. Allday, A family of unusual torus group actions, pp. 107–111 in: R. Schultz (ed.), Group actions on manifolds (Boulder, CO, 1983), *Contemp. Math.* **36** (1985)

[2] C. Allday, V. Puppe, *Cohomological methods in transformation groups*, Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math. **32**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge 1993

[3] M. F. Atiyah, *Elliptic operators and compact groups*, LNM **401**, Springer, Berlin 1974; doi:10.1007/BFb0057821

[4] A. Blanchard, Sur les variétés analytiques complexes, *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (3)* **73** (1956), 157–202

[5] A. Borel, The Poincaré duality in generalized manifolds, *Michigan Math. J.* **4** (1957), 227–239; doi:10.1307/mmj/1028997954

[6] G. E. Bredon, The free part of a torus action and related numerical equalities, *Duke Math. J.* **41** (1974), 843–854; doi:10.1215/S0012-7094-74-04184-2

[7] W. Bruns, J. Herzog, *Cohen–Macaulay rings*, 2nd ed., Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math. **39**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge 1998

[8] W. Bruns, U. Vetter, *Determinantal rings*, LNM **1327**, Springer, Berlin 1988; doi:10.1007/BFb0080378

[9] J.-L. Brylinski, Equivariant intersection cohomology, pp. 5–32 in: V. Deodhar (ed.), Kazhdan–Lusztig theory and related topics (Chicago, IL, 1989), *Contemp. Math.* **139**, AMS, Providence, RI, 1992

[10] T. Chang, T. Skjelbred, The topological Schur lemma and related results, *Ann. Math. (2)* **100** (1974), 307–321; doi:10.2307/1971074

[11] A. Dold, *Lectures on algebraic topology*, 2nd ed., Grundlehren **200**, Springer, Berlin 1980

[12] J. Duflot, Smooth toral actions, *Topology* **22** (1983), 253–265; doi:10.1016/0040-9383(83)90012-5

[13] D. Edidin, W. Graham, Equivariant intersection theory, *Invent. Math.* **131** (1998), 595–634; doi:10.1007/s002220050214

[14] D. Eisenbud, *The geometry of syzygies*, GTM **229**, Springer, New York 2005; doi:10.1007/b137572

[15] Y. Félix, S. Halperin, J.-C. Thomas, Differential graded algebras in topology, pp. 829–865 in: I. M. James (ed.), *Handbook of algebraic topology*, North-Holland, Amsterdam 1995

[16] T. Frankel, Fixed points and torsion on Kähler manifolds, *Ann. Math. (2)* **70** (1959), 1–8; doi:10.2307/1969889

[17] M. Franz, Koszul duality and equivariant cohomology for tori, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **42** (2003), 2255-2303; doi:10.1155/S1073792803206103

[18] M. Franz, V. Puppe, Freeness of equivariant cohomology and mutants of compactified representations, p. 87–98 in: M. Harada *et al.* (eds.), Toric Topology (Osaka, 2006), *Contemp. Math.* **460**, AMS, Providence, RI, 2008

[19] M. Franz, V. Puppe, Exact sequences for equivariantly formal spaces, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Soc. R. Can.* **33** (2011), 1–10

[20] M. Goresky, R. Kottwitz, R. MacPherson, Equivariant cohomology, Koszul duality, and the localization theorem, *Invent. Math.* **131** (1998), 25–83; doi:10.1007/s002220050197

[21] V. Guillemin, V. Ginzburg, Y. Karshon, Moment maps, cobordisms, and Hamiltonian group actions, AMS, Providence, RI, 2002

[22] J. D. S. Jones, Cyclic homology and equivariant homology, *Invent. Math.* **87** (1987), 403–423; doi:10.1007/BF01389424

[23] F. Kirwan, *Cohomology of quotients in symplectic and algebraic geometry*, Math. Notes **31**, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1984

[24] H. Tene, *Stratifolds and equivariant cohomology theories*, doctoral dissertation, Univ. Bonn 2010

[25] J. A. van Hamel, *Algebraic cycles and topology of real algebraic varieties*, CWI Tracts **129**, CWI, Amsterdam 2000

[26] A. Weber, Formality of equivariant intersection cohomology of algebraic varieties, *Proc. AMS* **131** (2003), 2633–2638; doi:10.1090/S0002-9939-03-07138-7

Department of Mathematics, University of Hawaii, 2565 McCarthy Mall, Honolulu, HI 96822, U.S.A.
E-mail address: `chris@math.hawaii.edu`

Department of Mathematics, University of Western Ontario, London, Ont. N6A 5B7, Canada
E-mail address: `mfranz@uwo.ca`

Fachbereich Mathematik, Universität Konstanz, 78457 Konstanz, Germany
E-mail address: `volker.puppe@uni-konstanz.de`